

## **Lumbar Two-Level/ Multilevel ADR Construct Bibliography**

1. Balderston JR, Gertz ZM, McIntosh T, Balderston RA. Long-term Outcomes of 2-Level Total Disc Replacement Using ProDisc-L: Nine- to 10-Year Follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014 May 15;39(11):906-910.
2. Bertagnoli R, Yue JJ, Shah RV, Nanieva R, Pfeiffer F, Fenk-Mayer A, Kershaw T, Husted DS. The treatment of disabling multilevel lumbar discogenic low back pain with total disc arthroplasty utilizing the ProDisc prosthesis: a prospective study with 2-year minimum follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005 Oct 1;30(19):2192-9.
3. Delamarter R, Zigler JE, Balderston RA, Cammisa FP, Goldstein JA, Spivak JM. Prospective, randomized, multicenter Food and Drug Administration IDE study of the ProDisc-L total disc replacement compared with circumferential arthrodesis for the treatment of two-level lumbar degenerative disc disease: results at twenty-four months. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2011 Apr 20;93(8):705-15.
4. Hannibal M, Thomas DJ, Low J, Hsu KY, Zucherman J. ProDisc-L total disc replacement: a comparison of 1-level versus 2-level arthroplasty patients with a minimum 2-year follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007 Oct 1;32(21):2322-6.
5. Levin DA, Bendo JA, Quirno M, Errico T, Goldstein J, Spivak J. Comparative charge analysis of one- and two-level lumbar total disc arthroplasty versus circumferential lumbar fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007 Dec 1;32(25):2905-9.
6. Marnay T, Geneste G, Edgard-Rosa G, Grau-Ortiz M, Hirsch C, Negre G. Clinical Outcomes After 1 and 2-Level Lumbar Total Disc Arthroplasty: 1,187 Patients with 7 to 21-Year Follow-up. *JBJS* 2025 Jan, 53-65.
7. Panjabi M, Henderson G, Abjornson C, Yue J. Multidirectional testing of one- and two-level ProDisc-L versus simulated fusions. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007 May 20;32(12):1311-9.
8. Radcliff K, Spivak J, Darden B 2nd, Janssen M, Bernard T, Zigler J. Five Year Reoperation Rates of 2-Level Lumbar Total Disk Replacement Versus Fusion: Results of a Prospective, Randomized Clinical Trial. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2018 Feb;31(1):37-42.
9. Rasouli A, Cuellar JM, Kanim L, Delamarter R. Multiple-Level Lumbar Total Disk Replacement: A Prospective Clinical and Radiographic Analysis of Motion Preservation at 24-72 Months. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2019 Feb;32(1):38-42.
10. Schoenfeld AJ. Commentary on an article by Rick Delamarter, MD, et al.: "Prospective, randomized, multicenter Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-L total disc replacement compared with circumferential arthrodesis for the treatment of two-level degenerative lumbar disc disease. Results at twenty-four months". *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2011 Apr 20;93(8):e41.
11. Sullivan HG, Bobenmoyer RL, Boland KM, Cerniglia MM, McHugh VL, Born HL, Mathiason MA, Ladwig NR. Physical capability outcomes after total disc replacement with ProDisc-L. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2012 Dec 1;6:43-8.
12. Trincat S, Edgard-Rosa G, Geneste G, Marnay T. Two-level lumbar total disc replacement: functional outcomes and segmental motion after 4years. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2015 Feb;101(1):17-21.
13. Yue J, Zhang K, Bai HX, Du J, Cammisa F, Abjornson C, Mo F. A comparison of patients who have undergone 1-Level versus 2-Level ProDisc arthroplasty: a prospective study with minimum of 5-year follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013 Jun 15;38(14):1194-8.
14. Zigler JE, Ohnmeiss DD. Comparison of 2-Level Versus 1-Level Total Disc Replacement: Results From a Prospective FDA-Regulated Trial. *SAS J*. 2008 Sep 1;2(3):140-4.